

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, DC 20460

OFFICE OF CHEMICAL SAFETY AND POLLUTION PREVENTION

## **MEMORANDUM**

DATE: 5/27/2021

SUBJECT: Efficacy Review for Klorsept, EPA Reg. No. 71847-6, Case #00216556

Efficacy Review for Klorkleen 2, EPA Reg. No. 71847-7, Case

alp

#00216560

DP Barcode: #460906 E-Submission: #59654

FROM: Sophie Nguyen, Team Lead

Efficacy Branch

Antimicrobials Division (7510P)

Date Signed: 5/27/2021

TO: Steven Snyderman PM33/Daniel Halpert

Regulatory Management Branch II Antimicrobials Division (7510P)

APPLICANT: Medentech Ltd.

Whitemill Industrial Estate Clonard Road, Wexford, Ireland

## EPA Reg. Nos. 71847-6 & 71847-7

### FORMULATION FROM LABELS:

Active Ingredient(s)	<u>% by wt.</u>
Sodium dichloro-s-triazinetrione	48.21%*
Other Ingredients	<u>51.79%</u>
Total	100.00%

<sup>\*</sup>Equivalent to 31.10% active chlorine by tablet weight.

#### I. BACKGROUND

Product Description (as packaged, as applied): Dilutable concentrate

**Submission type:** Label amendments

**Currently registered efficacy claim(s):** Hospital and healthcare disinfectant (bactericidal, virucidal, fungicidal, tuberculocidal, sporicidal against *Clostridioides difficile*) and food contact surface sanitizer for use on hard, non-porous surfaces. Klorkleen 2 label also makes claims against bacteria in biofilm.

**Requested action(s):** Add electrostatic sprayer application to the labels.

#### **Documents considered in this review:**

- Letters from applicant to EPA dated January 27, 2021
- Data Matrices (EPA Form 8570-35) dated January 27, 2021
- Confidential Statements of Formula (EPA Form 8570-4) dated 5/29/2020 & 11/11/2020
- 4 studies (MRID 51440201 51440204)
- Studies reviewed in Nov. 2019: 4 studies to support the use of the electrostatic sprayer (MRID Nos.50893001 50893004), and 1 study to support the stability claims of the use-solution (MRID No.50463012)
- Proposed labels dated 20210127
- Wetness testing videos

#### II. PROPOSED DIRECTIONS FOR USE

## [HEALTHCARE] {or} [and] [GENERAL] DISINFECTION [PERFORMANCE]

For SARS-CoV-2, prepare a 1076 ppm solution (4 minute contact time):

6.55 g tablet: 2 tablets to 1 gallon water

1.7 g tablet: 2 tablets to 1 quart water

3.34 g tablet: 1 tablet to 1 quart water

13.1 g tablet: 1 tablet to 1 gallon water

[General Solution Application with pre-clean: Apply use solution to pre-cleaned [hard], [non-porous], [inanimate] surfaces with brush, spray device, sponge, cloth, or mop [as appropriate] to wet all surfaces thoroughly. Allow to remain wet for contact time as indicated in the Usage Table, then remove product by wiping with brush, sponge, or cloth or allow to air dry.

## DIRECTIONS FOR USE WHEN USING AN ELECTROSTATIC SPRAYER DEVICE:

Prepare solution strength as required, refer to Usage Table for correct doses and contact times; refer to Dilution Chart for solution preparation. Transfer solution to sprayer reservoir or prepare solution in sprayer reservoir as required; refer to sprayer manufacturing instruction. The median droplet size of the spray must be  $\geq$ 40  $\mu$ m in diameter.

Ensure operator is wearing appropriate PPE, including N95 filtering facepiece respirators or half face respirators with N95 filters.

Ensure area is vacated prior to spraying, all bystanders and pets must be removed from the area.

Place the electrostatic spray function in the ON position for electrostatic spray models that have the functionality to toggle ON/OFF.

Spray surfaces as per sprayer instructions from a 1-2 feet [(12-24 inches)] distance. Ensure all other appropriate directions for use as per this product label are also followed.

Ensure surface remains wet for the appropriate contact time, refer to Usage table. Re-apply if necessary.

Allow to air dry.

### III. STUDY SUMMARIES

1.	MRID	51440201 (GLP-compliant, not audited)			
Exp. Start Da	ate	10/22/2020 <b>Study Completion Date:</b> 11/10/2020			
<b>Study Object</b>	tive	Hard, non-porous surface electrostatic sprayer			
<b>Study Title</b>		ASTM Method to Determine the Virucidal Activity of Liquid,			
		Aerosol, Trigger Spray and Towelettes Disinfectants for Innate,			
		Nonporous Environmental Surfaces			
<b>Testing Lab</b> ,	Lab Study ID	CREM Co. Labs. Units 1-2, 3403 American Dr., Mississauga,			
		Ontario, Canada L4V 1T4 Study #KRSA200923-01			
<b>Test Method</b>		ASTM E1053; Protocol #KRSA200923-01-FCV			
Test organism	n(s)	Feline calicivirus, strain F9 (ATCC VR-782)			
$\boxtimes 1 \square 2 \square 3$	<b>□</b> 4+				
<b>Indicator Ce</b>	ll Culture	Crandell-Reese Feline Kidney (CRFK), ATCC CCL-94			
<b>Application</b> I	Method	Sprayed at 2 ft and 4 ft distance using the Protexus PX200ES			
		Electrostatic Sprayer (≥40 μm in droplet size), time sprayed not			
		indicated.			
Test	Name/ID	Klorsept			
Substance	Lots	P183 (3.41 gm): 1050mg FAC/tablet			
Preparation	$\square$ 1 $\boxtimes$ 2 $\square$ 3	P114 (3.36 gm): 1050mg FAC/tablet			
	Preparation	2148 ppm FAC:			
		P183: 1 tablet + 488.8 mL water (1050mg FAC/0.4888L)			
		P114: 1 tablet + 1095 mL water (1050mg FAC/0.4888L)			
		Using 400 ppm AOAC Synthetic Hard Water			
		Sample used within 1 hour of preparation			
Soil load		5% FBS			
Carrier type,		Glass petri dishes, 2 virus rep. per distance per lot			
Test conditio	ns	Contact time 1 min. Temp 23-25°C			
		Dey-Engley (DE) Neutralizing Broth			
Reviewer comments		It is indicated that the sprayer was sprayed enough to remain wet			
` 1	deviations and	during contact time.			
amendments,	retesting,				
control failure	es, etc.)	Gravimetric and Physical Wetness Determination			
		• For each batch and each distance, three sterile 60×15 mm Petri			
		plates were used to represent the surface to be treated. The weight			
		of each glass was recorded as dry and untreated.			

• Each Petri plate was located on the predetermined distance from
the nozzle of the electro-sprayer (2ft and 4ft)
• The plate was sprayed the same way as the efficacy test and left
for contact time (1 minutes) at ambient humidity and temperature.
•The final weight of each Petri plate was recorded as post-contact
time

2.	MRID	51440202 (GLI	P-complia	ant)		
Experimental	Start Date	8/18/2020	Study (	Completion Date:	11/05/2020	
Study Objecti	ve	Hard, non-porous surface disinfectant – using electrostatic				
		sprayer				
<b>Study Title</b>		AOAC Germic	idal Spra	y Products as Disinf	ectant Test	
Testing Lab, I	Lab Study ID			Study ID #GLP2436	5	
Test organism	ı(s)			a (ATCC 15442)		
$\square$ 1 $\boxtimes$ 2 $\square$ 3	<b>□ 4</b> +	Staphylococcus	aureus (	ATCC 6538)		
<b>Test Method</b>		P2864				
Application M	lethod	Protexus PX20	OES Han	dheld Electrostatic S	prayer; sprayed for	
	<del>,</del>	4 seconds from	a distanc	ce of 2 ft & 4 ft at 45	° angle	
Test	Name/ID	Klorsept	Klorsept			
Substance	Lots	K115: 3240mg				
Preparation	$\Box$ 1 $\boxtimes$ 2 $\Box$ 3	M675: 3420mg				
	Preparation	3971 ppm FAC				
				water (3240mg FA		
		P114: 3 tablet + 816 mL water (3420mg FAC/0.4888L)				
			AOAC S	Synthetic Hard Wate	r	
Soil load		5% FBS				
Carrier type,		Glass slides, 10				
Test condition	<b>IS</b>	Contact time	4 min.	Temp & RH	26-28.1°C & 39-	
					48% RH	
Neutralizer		20 mL LEB with 0.2% Sodium Thiosulfate				
<b>Incubation Co</b>						
Reviewer comments						
•	(i.e. protocol deviations and					
amendments, retesting,						
control failures	s, neutralizer,					
etc.)						

3.	MRID	51440203 (GLP-compliant, not audited)			
<b>Experimental Start Date</b>		11/5/2020	<b>Study Completion Date:</b>	12/08/2020	
<b>Study Objective</b>		Hard, non-porous surface disinfectant – using electrostatic			
		sprayer			
<b>Study Title</b>		AOAC Germicidal Spray Products as Disinfectants (GSPT):			
		Testing against Staphylococcus aureus			
<b>Testing Lab</b> ,	Lab Study ID	CREM Co Labs; study no. KRSA200923-SA			
Test organism	n(s)	Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)			

$\boxtimes 1 \square 2 \square 3$	<b>□ 4</b> +				
Test Method KRSA200923-SA					
<b>Application Method</b>					Sprayer; sprayed for
		20 seconds from	n a distan	ce of 1 ft, 1.5 ft & 2	2 ft at 45° angle
Test	Name/ID	Klorsept			
Substance	Lots	P183 (3.41 gm)	): 1050mg	g FAC/tablet	
Preparation	$\Box$ 1 $\boxtimes$ 2 $\Box$ 3	P114 (3.36 gm)	): 1050mg	g FAC/tablet	
	Preparation	4296 ppm FAC	<b>:</b>		
		P183: 1 tablet -	⊦ 244.4 m	L water (1050mg F.	AC/0.2444L)
		P114: 1 tablet -	⊦ 244.4 m	L water (1050mg F.	AC/0.2444L)
		0 11		Synthetic Hard Wate	er
		Sample used within 1 hour of preparation			
Soil load		3-part soil load based on ASTM E2197			
Carrier type,	# per lot	Glass slides, 10 carriers per lot per distance			
Test condition	IS	Contact time	4 min.	Temp & RH	23-25°C & 25-
					60% RH
Neutralizer		20 mL Letheen	broth + 0	0.2% Sodium Thiosu	ılfate
<b>Incubation Co</b>	onditions	48±2 hours & 36±1°C			
Reviewer com	ments				
(i.e. protocol deviations and					
amendments, retesting,					
control failures, neutralizer,					
etc.)					

# NON-EFFICACY DATA (Wetness testing and previously submitted studies)

4.	MRID	51440204 (GLP)			
Exp. Start Date: 8/20/2020 Study Completion Date:		8/20/2020			
<b>Study Object</b>	ive	Document the s	urface wetness imparted by sir	nulating use of a	
		ESS device			
<b>Study Title</b>		Gravimetric and	d Physical Wetness Determinat	ion Test	
<b>Testing Lab</b> ,	Lab Study ID	Microchem Lab	ooratory, Study No. GLP2437		
<b>Test Method</b>		Gravimetric and	d Physical Wetness Determinat	ion Test	
<b>Application</b> I	Method	Protexus PX200	OES cordless electrostatic spray	er at 2ft & 4 ft,	
		time sprayed no	ot indicated ("sprayed until thor	oughly wetted)	
Test	Name/ID	Klorsept			
Substance	Lots	Batch K115 & 1	Batch M675 (each tablet report	edly weighs	
Preparation	$\square$ 1 $\boxtimes$ 2 $\square$ 3	3.32g)			
	Preparation	Batch K115:			
		3 tablets + 816 mL water (3971 ppm FAC)			
		Batch M675:			
		3 tablets + 859.5 mL water (3979 ppm FAC)			
		Diluent: 420 ppm AOAC Synthetic Hard Water			
Soil load N/A					
Carrier type,	# per lot	Glass Petri plate	e test carriers, 3 carriers per lot	per distance	

Test conditions	<b>Contact time</b> 4 min. <b>Temp &amp; RH</b> 22.6-23.8°C, 43-56%				
<b>Reviewer comments</b>	Protocol Amendment #1: Protocol P2865 is hereby amended to				
(i.e. protocol deviations and amendments, retesting, control failures, etc.)	clarify that the contact time tested for Klorsept Lots: K115 and M675 will be 4 minutes per Study Sponsor request. This parameter was inadvertently not captured in the protocol.				
	Protocol Deviation: For testing that occurred on 20SUG2020, the Environmental chamber's Relative Humidity was set to 38%. Due to the ambient humidity of the lab and the opening and closing of the chamber between sets, the Relative Humidity inside the chamber rose above the protocol specified range of 35±5% Relative Humidity to 43-56% Relative Humidity. The humidity was above the range specified in the protocol. Meaning with the increased humidity the test substance would have been more likely to not evaporate. This is not thought to have affected the quality of this study as one replicate for lot K115 at 4 feet was dry as the end of the contact time.				

5.	MRID	50893001 (non-GLP)				
Exp. Start Da	ate:	1/29/2018 <b>Study Completion Date:</b> 2/5/18				
Study Object	ive	To determine whether available chlorine concentrat	ion of a			
		Klorsept solution is affected when used in conjunct	ion with the			
		Protexus PX200ES cordless electrostatic sprayer.				
<b>Study Title</b>		Report on the examination of Klorsept (EPA Reg. N	No: 71847-6			
		in combination with the Protexus PX200ES cordles	S			
		electrostatic sprayer, when prepared using deionized	d water and			
		350-400 Hard water.				
<b>Testing Lab</b> ,	Lab Study ID	RD148-001R				
Test organism	n(s)	N/A				
	<b>□</b> 4+					
<b>Test Method</b>		N/A				
Application N	Method	Protexus PX200ES cordless electrostatic sprayer, nozzles 8C				
		and 9C				
Test	Name/ID	Klorsept				
Substance	Lots	Batch J112: 1678.42 mg sodium dichloroisocyanurate/tablet;				
Preparation	$\square$ 1 $\boxtimes$ 2 $\square$ 3	1082.58 mg FAC/tablet				
		Batch J337: 1716.94 mg sodium dichloroisocyanurate/tablet;				
		1107.43 mg FAC/tablet				
		Each tablet is reported to contain 48.21% NaDCC which is				
		equivalent to 31.10% active chlorine by tablet weight.				
	Preparation	Batch J337:				
		A 1 tablet + 1000 mL DI water				
		B 1 tablet + 1000 mL Hard Water				
		C 4 tablets + 1000 mL DI water				

	D 4 tablets + 1000	) mL Hard Wat	D 4 tablets + 1000 mL Hard Water			
	Batch J112:					
	E 1 tablet + 1000	mL DI water				
	F 1 tablet + 1000 i	mL Hard Wate	r			
	J 4 tablets + 1000	mL DI water				
	H 4 tablets + 1000	) mL Hard Wat	er			
Soil load	N/A					
Carrier type, # per lot	N/A					
Test conditions	Contact time	N/A	Temp	N/A		
Neutralizer	N/A					
<b>Incubation Condition</b>	N/A					
<b>Reviewer comments</b>	The report indicat	ed that the sam	ples were sp	orayed and		
(i.e. protocol deviations and	collected in a clean glass beaker. These are then analyzed for					
amendments, retesting,	their NaDCC cond	centration. Dist	ance from s	urface sprayed is		
control failures, etc.)	unknown. Locatio	ons of samples of	collected are	e unknown.		
	Activation time for	or concentration	s to be reac	hed is not reported.		
	Study conclusion as reported: "When using nozzle 80 or 90 of					
	the Protexus PX200ES electrostatic sprayer, it did not					
	influence the available chlorine concentration of the Klorsept					
	(EPA Reg No: 713	847-6) solution	s tested who	en prepared using		
	deionized water or	r 350 - 400 ppn	n hard water	r."		

-	MRID	50893002				
6.						
Exp. Start Da		2/1/2018	<b>Study Completion Date:</b>			
Study Object	tive	To determine whe	ther available chlorine conce	entration of a		
		Klorsept solution	is affected when used in con	junction with the		
		Protexus PX200E	S cordless electrostatic spray	ver.		
<b>Study Title</b>		Report on the exam	nination of Klorsept (EPA F	Reg No: 71847-6)		
		in combination wi	th the Protexus PX300ES co	ordless		
		electrostatic spray	er, when prepared using deig	onized water and		
		350 - 400 ppm Ha				
<b>Testing Lab</b> ,	Lab Study ID	11				
Test organisi	m(s)	N/A				
	3 □ 4+					
<b>Test Method</b>		N/A				
Application I	Method	Protexus PX200E	S cordless electrostatic spray	er, nozzles 8C		
		and 9C				
Test	Name/ID	Klorsept				
Substance	Lots	Batch J112: 1678.42 mg sodium dichloroisocyanurate/tablet;				
Preparation	$\Box$ 1 $\boxtimes$ 2 $\Box$ 3	=				
		Batch J337: 1716.94 mg sodium dichloroisocyanurate/tablet;				
		1107.43 mg FAC/tablet				
		Each tablet is reported to contain 48.21% NaDCC which is				
		equivalent to 31.10% active chlorine by tablet weight.				

	Preparation	Batch J337:			
	•	A 3 tablet + 3000 mL DI water			
		B 3 tablet + 3000	mL Hard Water	r	
		C 12 tablets + 300	0 mL DI water		
		D 12 tablets + 300	00 mL Hard Wa	ter	
		Batch J112:			
		E 3 tablet + 3000 t	mL DI water		
		F 3 tablet + 3000 i	mL Hard Water	•	
		J 12 tablets + 3000	mL DI water		
		H 12 tablets + 300	00 mL Hard Wa	ter	
Soil load		N/A			
Carrier type,	# per lot	N/A			
Test condition	ns	Contact time	N/A	Temp	N/A
Neutralizer		N/A			
<b>Incubation C</b>	ondition	N/A			
Reviewer con	nments	The report indicate	ed that the samp	ples were sp	rayed and
(i.e. protocol o	deviations and	collected in a clear	n glass beaker.	These are th	en analyzed for
amendments,	retesting,	their NaDCC cond			± •
control failure	es, etc.)	unknown. Locatio			
		Locations of samp	oles collected ar	e unknown.	Activation time
		for concentrations	to be reached i	s not reporte	ed.
		Study conclusion as reported: "When using nozzle 80 or 90 of			
		the Protexus PX200ES electrostatic sprayer, it did not			
		influence the available chlorine concentration of the Klorsept			
		(EPA Reg No: 71847-6) solutions tested when prepared using			
		deionized water or	r 350 - 400 ppm	hard water	."

7. MRID	50893003				
Exp. Start Date:	9/27/2018	<b>Study Completion Date:</b>	10/1/18		
Study Objective	Objective  To determine the drying time of unknown Klorsept solution prepared using hard water (350-400 ppm) over a defined are when sprayed using the Protexus PX200ES electrostatic sprayer.				
Study Title	Report on the examination of drying time of a Klorsept (EPA Reg No: 71847-6) solution prepared using 350 – 400 ppm har water when sprayed on a ceramic tile using the Protexus PX300ES cordless electrostatic sprayer.				
Testing Lab, Lab Study ID	RD148-006R				
Test organism(s)	N/A				
$\square$ 1 $\square$ 2 $\square$ 3 $\square$ 4+					
Test Method	N/A				
Application Method	Protexus PX200ES cordless electrostatic sprayer, nozzles 8C and 9C				
Name/ID	Klorsept				

Test Substance Preparation	Lots ☐ 1 ⊠ 2 ☐ 3  Preparation	Batch K115 & Bar Each tablet is repo- equivalent to 31.10 Batch K115: A 1 tablet + 1000 B 4 tablets + 1000 Batch K833:	orted to contair 0% active chlos mL Hard Wate	ı 48.21% Na rine by table r		
		C 1 tablet + 1000 t D 4 tablets + 1000				
Soil load		N/A	mil Haid Wai	<u>C1</u>		
Carrier type,	# per lot	N/A				
Test conditio	ns	Contact time	N/A	Temp	N/A	
Neutralizer N/A						
<b>Incubation C</b>	ondition	N/A				
Reviewer con		The report indicated the following method for examination of				
` -	deviations and		drying time using the Protexus PX200ES electrostatic sprayer:			
amendments,	•	"The Protexus (500 ml) were loaded into the tank of the machine. A ceramic tile of dimensions 24.8 x 60 cm was				
control failure	es, etc.)					
		sprayed from top to complete coverage				
		initiated immediat				
		the tile was compl		_		
		was determined. T	• •		•	
		Three replicated w	vere carried out	for each so	lution prepared."	
Study conclusion as reported: "These data demonstrate that efficacy claims with a contact time ≤10 min according to the Klorsept Master Label (EPA Reg No.: 71847-6) would be achieved with one application spray to a hard, non-porous surface using the PX200ES. However, efficacy claims with contact time of 30 min as per Klorsept Master Label (EPA F No.: 71847-6) would require two staggered applications using the PX200ES in order to reach 30 min contact time."					according to the 7-6) would be 1, non-porous cy claims with a er Label (EPA Reg pplications using	

8.	MRID	50893004			
Exp. Start D	ate:	9/27/2018	<b>Study Completion Date:</b>	10/1/18	
Study Object	tive	To determine the drying time of unknown Klorsept solutions prepared using hard water (350-400 ppm) over a defined area when sprayed using the Protexus PX200ES electrostatic sprayer.			
Study Title		Reg No: 71847-6) water when spraye	mination of drying time of a solution prepared using 350 ed on a ceramic tile using the selectrostatic sprayer.	) – 400 ppm hard	
Testing Lab,	Lab Study ID	RD148-007R		_	

Test organism	• •	N/A			
	□ <b>4</b> +				
<b>Test Method</b>		N/A			
Application N	Method	Protexus PX200ES cordless electrostatic sprayer, nozzles 8C			
		and 9C			
Test	Name/ID	Klorsept			
Substance	Lots	Batch K115 & Batch K833 (each tablet reportedly weighs 3.3g)			
Preparation	$\square$ 1 $\boxtimes$ 2 $\square$ 3	Each tablet is reported to contain 48.21% NaDCC which is			
		equivalent to 31.1	0% active ch	lorine by tabl	et weight.
	Preparation	Batch K115:			
		A 1 tablet + 1000			
		B 4 tablets + 1000	mL Hard W	ater	
		Batch K833:			
		C 1 tablet + 1000			
		D 4 tablets + 1000	mL Hard W	ater	
Soil load		N/A			
Carrier type,		N/A	T	1 _	1
Test condition	ns	Contact time	N/A	Temp	N/A
Neutralizer		N/A			
Incubation C		N/A			
Reviewer con		The report indicated the following method for examination of			
_	deviations and	drying time using the Protexus PX200ES electrostatic sprayer:			
amendments,		"The Protexus (500 ml) were loaded into the tank of the			
control failure	es, etc.)	machine. A ceramic tile of dimensions 24.8 x 60 cm was			
		sprayed from top to bottom with one motion that ensured complete coverage of the tiles in a fine spray. The timer was			
		_			
			•		The time at which
		the tile was compl	•		0
		was determined. T			
		Three replicated w	vere carried o	out for each sc	olution prepared.
		Study conclusion a	as reported: "	'These data da	emonstrate that
		efficacy claims with a contact time ≤10 min according to the Klorsept Master Label (EPA Reg No.: 71847-6) would be			
		achieved with one		-	
		surface using the I			
					er Label (EPA Reg
		No.: 71847-6) wor		1	`
		the PX200ES in or			

9.	MRID	50463012, Submit	tted 12/7/17			
Exp. Start Da	ate:	Not available <b>Study Completion Date:</b> 7/6/2017				
Study Objective		To determine if there is any change between the average				
		NaDCC content per tablet in solution 144 hours after initial				

		4004in 0 222h on 40h10	4 ia in aaludian	of 250mm	400mm h and	
		testing when table	t is in solution	or 350ppm	– 400ppm naru	
G. I FRI.I		water.		111	1 .1	
Study Title		Report on the effect of aging in use-dilution solutions of US				
		Klorsept (EPA Reg No: 71847-6) when prepared using 350 -				
		400ppm Hard Water				
Testing Lab,	Lab Study ID	S-02-0196				
Test organism	n(s)	N/A				
	<b>□ 4</b> +					
<b>Test Method</b>		N/A	N/A			
<b>Application N</b>	Method					
Test	Name/ID	Klorsept NaDCC	Tablets: 1.489;	g NaDCC/ta	ıblet	
Substance	Lots	5 samples, A, B, C	C, D, E, from L	ot H847 (w	eighs 3.3 g)	
Preparation	$\boxtimes 1 \square 2 \square 3$	Tablet is reported	to contain 48.	21% NaDC	C. Solutions are	
		prepared using hard water between 350 ppm – 400 ppm				
	Preparation	Solutions A & B: 4 Klorsept tablets (from same tablet batch) +				
	_	1 L hard water: 66	580mg/L			
		Solution E: 10 Klo	orsept tablets (	from same t	ablet batch) + 1 L	
		hard water: 16700	mg/L		,	
		Solutions C & D:	1 Klorsept tab	let (from sar	me tablet batch) + 1	
		L hard water: 167		`	,	
Soil load		N/A				
Carrier type,	# per lot	N/A				
<b>Test conditio</b>	ns	Contact time	3-6 days	Temp	N/A	
Neutralizer		N/A				
<b>Incubation C</b>	ondition	N/A				
Reviewer con	nments	Study conclusion	reported: "The	study result	ts show that on day	
(i.e. protocol	deviations and	3 the measured Na	aDCC concentr	rations for a	ll five test solutions	
amendments,	retesting,	of Klorsept prepared in hard water remained above their				
control failure	es, , etc.)	calculated LCLs. On day 4 all but one, and by day 5 two of the				
		initial five test sol	utions remaine	d above ren	nained above their	
		calculated LCLs."				
		Only one batch wa	as used.			
L						

## IV. STUDY RESULTS

Virucidal Activity - ESS								
MRID	Concentration			]	Results			
No.	& contact time Organis			Batch No. P183 Batch		Batch N	ch No. P114	
	w/ 5% FBS, sprayed 2ft. away							
	Diluted to	Feline	Description	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	
	~2148 ppm	calicivirus,	Complete	10 <sup>0</sup> to 10 <sup>-4</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup> to 10 <sup>-4</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup> to 10 <sup>-6</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup> to 10 <sup>-4</sup>	
51440201	FAC w/ 400	strain F9	Inactivation	dilutions	dilutions	dilutions	dilutions	
	ppm AOAC hard water &	(ATCC VR-	ID <sub>50</sub> /carrier	Not pro	ovided	Not pr	ovided	
	nara water &	782)	Log <sub>10</sub> Reduction	≥6.	.12	≥6	.16	

	1-min contact time		Dried Virus Control	/.3 x 10°		Not provided	
			Input Control (PFU/mL)			$8.2 \times 10^6$	
w/ 5% FBS, sprayed 4ft. away							
	Diluted to		Description	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 1	Rep. 2
	~2148 ppm	V/ 400 Feline Inactivation dilutions OAC Calicivirus IDso/carrier Note	Complete	10 <sup>0</sup> to 10 <sup>-4</sup>	10 <sup>-1</sup> to 10 <sup>-4</sup>	10 <sup>-1</sup> to 10 <sup>-4</sup>	$10^0$ to $10^{-4}$
	FAC w/ 400		Inactivation	dilutions	dilutions	dilutions	dilutions
	ppm AOAC hard water &		Not pro	Not provided		ovided	
51440201	1-min contact	strain F9	Log <sub>10</sub> Reduction	5.2	22	≥6.26	
time	_	. (ATCC VP	Dried Virus Control	Not provided		Not provided	
			Input Control (PFU/mL)	7.3 x	10 <sup>6</sup>	8.2	x 10 <sup>6</sup>

	Hard, Non-Porous Surface Disinfectant – ESS						
			No. Ca		hibiting ( Carriers	Growth/	Average Carrier
MRID	Contact	Organism	2	ft	4	ft	-
No.	Time	_	Batch #K115	Batch #M675	Batch #K115	Batch #M675	Log <sub>10</sub> (CFU/Carrier)
51440202	4	Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)	1/10	0/10	6/10	5/10	6.32
51440202	4 min.	Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 15442	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	Population Control Log <sub>10</sub> (CFU/Carrier)

	Hard, Non-Porous Surface Disinfectant – ESS								
			I	No. Car	riers Ex Total (	hibiting Carriers	•	h/	Average Carrier
MRID No.	Contact Time	Organism	1	ft	1.5	5 ft	2	ft	<b>Population Control</b> Log <sub>10</sub> (CFU/Carrier)
140.	Time		Batch #P183	Batch #P114	Batch #P183	Batch #P114	Batch #P183	Batch #P114	Log <sub>10</sub> (Cro/Carrier)
51440203	4 min.	Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	0/10	5.93 & 6.17

## Wetness testing

In addition to the efficacy testing, the registrant also conducted wetness testing to demonstrate that the surface remains visibly wet over the duration of the contact time. Videos were provided as well as weight measurements. Wetness was NOT clearly visible in videos.

MRID Number		verage weight (unit unknown), tir 148 ppm FAC w/ 400 ppm AOAC			tact time			
	Batch	Weight Type	Rep. 1	Rep. 2	Rep. 3			
	Distance @ 2ft							
		Weight #1 (untreated)	6.72	6.72	6.70			
	Batch P114	Weight #2 (treated)	8.26	7.85	8.06			
	Daten P114	Weight #3 (post contact time)	1.54	1.15	1.35			
51440201	Wetness Obs	Wetness Observation	No visual determination					
31440201		Distance @ 4ft						
		Weight #1 (untreated)	6.69	6.72	6.70			
	Batch P114	Weight #2 (treated)	7.29	7.33	7.32			
	Datell F114	Weight #3 (post contact time)	0.60	0.61	0.62			
		Wetness Observation No visual determination						
		Distance @ 2ft						
		Weight #1 (untreated)	6.48	6.47	6.45			
	Batch P183	Weight #2 (treated)	7.86	7.88	7.87			
	Datch P185	Weight #3 (post contact time)	1.38	1.41	1.42			
51440201		Wetness Observation	No vis	ual determin	nation			
51440201		Distance @ 4ft						
		Weight #1 (untreated)	6.38	6.39	6.43			
	Batch P183	Weight #2 (treated)	7.20	7.57	7.32			
	Datch P183	Weight #3 (post contact time)	0.82	1.18	0.89			
		Wetness Observation	No vis	sual determin	nation			

MRID Number	Average weight (g), time sprayed unknown Diluted to 3971 ppm & 3979 ppm FAC w/ 400 ppm AOAC hard water & 4-m contact time							
	Batch	Batch Weight Type Rep. 1 Rep. 2 Rep. 3						
		Distance @ 2ft						
		Weight #1 (untreated)	89.64	102.67	90.41			
		Weight #2 (treated)	90.78	103.99	91.74			
51440204	Batch K115	Weight #3 (post contact time)	90.51	103.60	91.42			
31440204		Remaining test sub weight	0.87	0.93	0.01			
		Wetness Observation	Wet	Wet	Wet			
		Distance @ 4ft						
	Batch K115	Weight #1 (untreated)	105.37	105.88	104.02			

		Weight #2 (treated)	105.55	106.10	104.22			
		Weight #3 (post contact time)	105.40	105.92	104.03			
		Remaining test sub weight	0.03	0.04	0.01			
		Wetness Observation	Wet	Dry	Wet			
	Distance @ 2ft							
	Batch M675	Weight #1 (untreated)	85.33	90.01	87.85			
		Weight #2 (treated)	86.39	91.18	89.09			
		Weight #3 (post contact time)	86.19	90.98	88.87			
		Remaining test sub weight	0.86	0.97	1.02			
51440204		Wetness Observation	Wet	Wet	Wet			
51440204	Distance @ 4ft							
	Batch M675	Weight #1 (untreated)	91.32	89.55	85.39			
		Weight #2 (treated)	91.40	89.66	85.52			
		Weight #3 (post contact time)	91.34	89.58	85.41			
		Remaining test sub weight	0.02	0.03	0.02			
		Wetness Observation	Wet	Wet	Wet			

MRID 50893003: Average drying time of Protexus PX200ES on ceramic tile with 2 nozzle sizes								
Batch	Contact time	Solution (ppm FAC)	Nozzle	Average drying time (min.)				
K115	30 sec. – 1 min.	1093.22	8C	26.97				
			9C	21.12				
		4259.30	8C	22.72				
			9C	24.04				
K833 30 sec. – 1 min.		1093.22	8C	23.64				
			9C	24.64				
		4294.82	8C	24.72				
			9C	18.53				
<b>MRID 508</b>	MRID 50893004: Average drying time of Protexus PX300ES on ceramic tile with 2 nozzle sizes							
Batch	Contact time	Solution (ppm FAC)	Nozzle	Average drying time (min.)				
K115	30 sec. − 1 min.	1093.22	8C	28.44				
			9C	26.87				
		4294.82	8C	24.77				
			9C	23.83				
K833	30 sec. − 1 min.	1093.22 & 1086.13	8C	20.56				
			9C	24.34				
		4259.30	8C	26.56				
			9C	23.17				

MRID #50463012: Effect of time (aging) on measured concentration of NaDCC and pH in end use dilutions of Klorsept prepared with 350-400 ppm							
Lot #/Manufacturing date: 6/22/2017	Sample	Composition of solution	Day	рН	Approx. ppm of solution based on assay results (ppm)	LCL for specific dilution based on CSF (ppm)	Difference from day 0 (%)
			0	5.83	4294.83		
		Hard water +	3	6.06	4085.04		5.79
	A	4 Klorsept tablets	4	6.12	3922.12		8.68
			5	6.19	3780.14		11.98
			6	6.17	3815.64	3825.22	11.16
	В		0 5.85 4454.60 3825.	3823.22			
		Hard water + 4 Klorsept tablets	3	6.12	4206.08		5.58
			4	6.11	4028.62		9.56
			5	6.14	4090.72		8.17
			6	6.14	4037.49		9.36
	С	Hard water + 1 Klorsept tablets	0	6.29	1061.28	956.31	
			3	6.37	993.84		6.35
H847			4	6.86	990.29		6.69
			5	6.74	986.75		7.02
			6	6.74	933.50		12.04
	D	Hard water + 1 Klorsept tablets	0	6.27	1089.68		
			3	6.64	1047.09		3.91
			4	6.97	1004.49		7.82
			5	7.11	968.00		11.07
			6	7.12	937.06		14.01
	E	Hard water + 10 Klorsept tablets	0	5.70	10541.82		
			3	5.93	9619.01		8.75
			4	5.94	9562.74	9563.06	9.26
			5	5.95	9530.26		9.60
			6	5.95	9299.55	] [	11.78

## V. STUDY CONCLUSIONS

MRID	Objective	Surface	Application Method & Dilutions	Spray & contact times	Soil Load	Organism(s)	Distance	Data support tested condition(s)?
51440201	Virucidal Activity – Electrostatic Sprayer	Hard, non- porous	Diluted to ~2148 ppm FAC w/ 400	1 min. contact time (spray	spray 5% FBS	Feline Calicivirus ATCC VR-782	2 ft.	No*
		surfaces (petri dish)	ppm AOAC hard water	time unknown)			4 ft.	No*
51440202	Bactericidal Activity – Electrostatic Sprayer	Hard, non- porous surfaces (glass slides)	Diluted to 3971 ppm FAC w/ 364- 380 ppm AOAC hard water	4 min. contact time; sprayed 4 sec. @ 45° angle	5% FBS	Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)	2 ft.	No
							4 ft.	No
						Pseudomonas aeruginosa (ATCC 15442)	2 ft.	Yes
							4 ft.	Yes
51440203 (retest for S. aureus)	Bactericidal Activity – Electrostatic Sprayer	surfaces (glass petri ppm A	Diluted to 4296 ppm FAC w/ 400 ppm AOAC hard	4 min. contact time; sprayed 20 sec.	3-part soil	Staphylococcus aureus (ATCC 6538)	1 ft.	Yes
							1.5 ft.	Yes
			water				2 ft.	Yes
51440201	Wetness testing	Hard, non- porous surfaces	Diluted to ~2148 ppm FAC w/ 400 ppm AOAC hard water	1 min. contact time (spray time unknown)	NI/A	N/A	2 ft.	No*
		(glass petri dish)			N/A		4 ft.	No*
51440204	Wetness testing	Hard, non- porous	3971 & 3979 ppm	4 min. contact			2 ft.	Yes
		surfaces   FAC w/ 400 nnm	time (spray time unknown)	N/A	N/A N/A	4 ft.	Yes	

<sup>\*</sup>additional information needed, see detail in comments below

#### VI. LABEL COMMENTS

## Proposed Labels Ver. 18 for Klorsept 20210127 & Ver. 9 for Klorkleen 2 20210127

- 1. The proposed label claims that the products, Klorsept (Reg. #71847-6) & Klorkleen 2 (Reg. #71847-7), when used with an electrostatic sprayer as directed from 1-2 ft. distance on visibly cleaned hard, non-porous surfaces when diluted according to the dilutions for disinfection with virucidal activity for the indicated contact times for viruses on the labels are not acceptable for the following reasons:
  - a. Per the 810.2200, the result reports for the viral study with MRID #51440201 should be calculated as follows:
    - Section (G)(6)(vi) indicates that the 50% infectious dose (ID<sub>50</sub>) values (for all carriers) for each assay should be calculated by using an appropriate statistical method (e.g., Reed and Munch, Most Probable Number, Spearman-Karber).
    - Section (G)(6)(vii) indicates that The test results should be reported as the log reduction of the virus (ID50 of the virus control carriers less the ID50 of the test carriers) as calculated by an appropriate statistical method (e.g., Reed and Munch, Most Probable Number, Spearman-Karber). The log reduction calculation should take into consideration the level of cytotoxicity and neutralization. Results should be reported per assayed volume and per carrier/surface.

These calculations should be provided for further evaluation.

- b. The wetness testing to substantiate the concentration at 2153 ppm FAC requires additional information for evaluation (MRID #51440201 and accompanying wetness testing videos):
  - Visual wetness determination was not provided in the study report.
  - The unit of weight was not provided in the study report.
  - The time of spraying was not provided in the study report. Videos showed spraying for longer than acceptable. Please confirm the spraying time in the videos.
  - The videos performed in the laboratory did not specify the spraying distance, spraying time, nor did they provide a visualization on the wetness of each carrier.

Please provide the requested information for further evaluation.

c. If/when these data gaps are addressed, please note that the concentration and contact time tested in the virucidal efficacy and wetness study only support the concentration of 2153 ppm or above and the contact time of 1 minute. Disclaimers/prohibitory statements should be added to the labels to take these into consideration. As noted in the technical screen deficiency back in February 2021, in order to bridge/confirm organisms under disinfection with bactericidal and disinfection with virucidal activity, the following conditions should be tested for labels with multiple dilution rates and contact times:

- Confirmatory efficacy tests using the electrostatic sprayer should be conducted using the most conservative conditions (i.e., most diluted concentration and shortest contact time) claimed on the label, and wetness testing should be conducted using the most diluted concentration and longest contact time claimed on the label. This is to ensure that the bridged organisms at their corresponding dilutions and contact times are also inactivated and that surfaces stay wet for the duration of the contact times.
- 2. The proposed label claims that the products, Klorsept (Reg. #71847-6) & Klorkleen 2 (Reg. #71847-7), when used with an electrostatic sprayer as directed from 1-2 ft. distance on visibly cleaned hard, non-porous surfaces when diluted according to the dilutions for disinfection with bactericidal activity for the indicated contact time for bacteria on the labels are not acceptable for the following reasons:
  - a. Please revise the distance for electrostatic sprayer in the directions for use to indicate only at 2 ft. distance. Efficacy data for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* did not test at the minimum distance claimed (1 ft.).
  - b. The videos performed in the laboratory did not specify the spraying distance nor do they show clear visualization of the wetness on each carrier using the wipes.
  - c. If/when these data gaps are addressed, please note that the concentration and contact time tested in the bactericidal efficacy and wetness studies only support the concentration of 4306 ppm and the contact time of 4 minute. Disclaimers/prohibitory statements should be added to the labels to take these into consideration. As noted in the technical screen deficiency back in February 2021, in order to bridge/confirm organisms under disinfection with bactericidal and disinfection with virucidal activity, the following conditions should be tested for labels with multiple dilution rates and contact times:
    - Confirmatory efficacy tests using the electrostatic sprayer should be conducted using the most conservative conditions (i.e., most diluted concentration and shortest contact time) claimed on the label, and wetness testing should be conducted using the most diluted concentration and longest contact time claimed on the label. This is to ensure that the bridged organisms at their corresponding dilutions and contact times are also inactivated and that surfaces stay wet for the duration of the contact times.
- 3. Please remove all references to electrostatic sprayer application until additional information is provided for evaluation.